## STAGED ACCESSION MODEL

As a proposal for operationalisation of the revised enlargement methodology

## NEW MEMBER STATE



Full participation in the EU policies and institutions, subject to certain temporary institutional limitations, such as limiting the exercise of the veto power in the Council



EU citizenship rights



Funding level equal to the corresponding amounts for conventional membership

#### STAGED ACCESSION MODEL



#### **EARLY INTEGRATION**

- European Council policy dialogue from Stage I
- Council of the EU policy dialogue and selective observer participation from Stage I; participation with speaking rights from Stage II
- European Parliament observer MEPs delegated by national parliaments from Stage I; national MPs with speaking rights in EP
- Court of Justice staff appointments from Stage II
- Comitology Policy dialogue, very selectively, from Stage I;
   observers, selectively, from Stage II
- Consultative bodies Joint Consultative Committee(s) in Stages I and II



## PRIORITISATION OF THE FUNDAMENTALS

#### **QUANTIFIED RATINGS**

- Not only chapters but also fundamental subareas (public administration reform, economic, and political criteria) should be quantified
- As a clear basis for progress through stages

#### **FUNDAMENTALS**

- Unlike other clusters which would require an average rating of 3, all chapters and sub-areas in Cluster 1 would require a minimum rating of 3 (moderate level of preparedness) to enter Stage I
- Unlike other clusters which would require an average rating of 4, all chapters and sub-areas in Cluster 1 would require a mimimum rating of 4 (minimum good) to enter Stage II



# DYNAMICS OF PROGRESS BASED ON ROBUST AND TRANSPARENT ASSESSMENT OF MERIT

#### SIX CLUSTERS

- The model keeps the clustering system
- Adding qualitative assessment for the Fundamental's subarea of functioning of democratic institutions
- Quantification of all qualitative assessments as a final step in assessing and comparing progress



# CLEAR ROADMAP FOR PROGRESS THROUGH STAGES

#### **GREATER CLARITY**

Progress criteria

I stage: minimum moderate ratings of [3] for cluster averages
II stage: mix of moderate to good ratings, minimum average rating of [4]
III stage: Advanced levels of preparedness across all clusters; all chapters closed
IV stage: Advanced levels of preparedness maintained

#### PROGRESSIVE ACCESS TO NEW FUNDING INSTRUMENTS

Progressive increase of available funding for structural and investment projects

#### **REVERSIBILITY**

- Simplifying procedures in case of serious stagnation or backsliding
- By increasing stakes, the acceding country will have much more to lose
- Clear reversibility mechanisms: freezing specific benefits in case of stagnation or mild backsliding; reversing a stage in case of serious backsliding

#### REVISED ENLARGEMENT METHODOLOGY



#### POLITICAL AND POLICY DIALOGUE

creating new opportunities for the dialogue, especially in the areas where alignment is progressing well and key criteria are being met (observers in the key EU meetings on the matters of substantial importance to them)



#### MERIT BASED PROCESS

when partner countries meet the **objective criteria**, member states shall agree to move forward to the **next stage** of the process

## STRONGER FOCUS ON FUNDAMENTAL REFORMS

results on these reforms will be a requirement for deeper sectorial integration and progress



#### MORE DYNAMIC PROCESS

#### **CLUSTERING CHAPTERS**

this will allow for targeted dialogues and identification of opportunities for accelerated alignment and integration in all EU policy areas - clear benefits for partner countries



### **PREDICTABILITY**

#### CONDITIONALITY

- encourage real political will
- greater clarity on what the EU expects at different stages of the process and what are the positive and negative consequences
- conditions must be objective, precise, detailed, strict and verifiable, accompanied with thirdparty indicators
- clear and tangible incentives of direct interest to citizens; increased funding and investments
- closer integration "phasing-in" to individual EU policies, programmes and the EU market
- simplified procedures require for more decisive measures of sanctioning stagnation or backsliding

#### CREDIBLE ACCESSION PERSPECTIVE

A KEY TOOL TO PROMOTE

RULE OF LAW

DEMOCRACY

FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS



Sava Mitrović, Junior Researcher; Anesa Omeragić, Events and Communication Coordinator
© European Policy Centre - CEP Belgrade